Comment on singular solutions to the stationary coagulation equation

1995 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 28 3563

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/28/12/027)

J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 28 (1995) 3563–3564. Printed in the UK

ADDENDUM

Comment on singular solutions to the stationary coagulation equation

P B Dubovskiĭ† and I W Stewart‡

† Obninsk Institute of Atomic Energetics, Studgorodok 1, Obninsk 249020, Russia
‡ Department of Mathematics, University of Strathclyde, Livingstone Tower, 26 Richmond Street, Glasgow G1 1XH, UK

Received 4 April 1995

Abstract. We comment on our paper 'Exact solutions for the coagulation–fragmentation equation'.

We examine the stationary Smoluchowski coagulation equation

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_0^m K(m-m_1,m_1)c(m-m_1)c(m_1)\,\mathrm{d}m_1 - c(m)\int_0^\infty K(m,m_1)c(m_1)\,\mathrm{d}m_1 = 0 \qquad (1)$$

with a symmetric non-negative coagulation kernel $K(m,m_1)=K(m_1,m)$, $m,m_1\geqslant 0$. A previous paper by the authors [1] demonstrated the surprising phenomenon of existence of stationary solutions to (1). A typical solution with this behaviour satisfies the equality

$$K(m,m_1)c(m)c(m_1) = \frac{1}{(m+m_1)^3}. \qquad (2)$$

The bounded continuous coagulation kernel

$$K(m,m_1) = \frac{v(m)v(m_1)}{(m+m_1)^3} \qquad v(m) = \begin{cases} m^3 & 0\leqslant m\leqslant 1 \\ 1 & m\geqslant 1 \end{cases} \qquad (3)$$

yields the stationary solution

$$c(m) = \begin{cases} m^{-3} & 0<m\leqslant 1 \\ 1 & m\geqslant 1. \end{cases} \qquad (4)$$

This mathematical phenomenon is really surprising and an attempt should be made to discuss this result from a physical point of view.

By considering the Smoluchowski model in the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}c(m,t) = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^m K(m-m_1,m_1)c(m-m_1,t)c(m_1,t)\,\mathrm{d}m_1 - c(m,t)\int_0^\infty K(m,m_1)c(m_1,t)\,\mathrm{d}m_1 \qquad m>0 \qquad (5)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}c(0,t) = -c(0,t)\int_0^\infty K(0,m_1)c(m_1,t)\,\mathrm{d}m_1 \qquad m=0 \qquad (6)$$

an attempt has been made in [2] to explain the occurrence of the above stationary solution. A similar approach connected with replacing Smoluchowski's model by another model was considered when it was discovered that the coagulation kernel $K(m,m_1)=mm_1$ yields the paradoxical infringement of the mass conservation law after a critical time (see [3, 4] and references in [1]). There were suggestions to change the Smoluchowski equation to ensure the conservation of mass by replacing the second term in (1) with

$$mc(m,t)\int_0^\infty m_1 c(m_1,0)\,\mathrm{d}m_1$$

(see e.g. discussion in [3]). However, further research reported in the literature demonstrated the correctness of the original coagulation model [3,4]. This adapted coagulation model did not replace the original Smoluchowski equation. We anticipate that the model (5) and (6) is destined for a similar fate: the reason being that in [2] it is assumed that the value of the function

$$f(m)=\int_0^m G(m,m_1)\,\mathrm{d}m_1 \tag{7}$$

at the point $m=0$ is equal to zero independently of the integrand $G(m,m_1)$. However, an elementary computation can show that, for instance, the value of the function

$$f(m)=\int_0^m \frac{1}{m+m_1}\,\mathrm{d}m_1 \tag{8}$$

at the point $m=0$ is equal to $\ln 2$ despite the integrand being singular at zero. Following the argument presented in [2] it would have to be equal to zero which, as demonstrated by (8), cannot be true in general. A similar problem arises in the well known solution to the heat equation

$$u(x,t)=\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-(x-y)^2/4t}u_0(y)\,\mathrm{d}y \tag{9}$$

at the initial time $t=0$: direct substitution of $t=0$ into (9) is impossible and hence we must pass to the limit $t\to 0$ in order to define $u(x,0)$ from (9).

The simple examples (8) and (9) show that the particular approach in [2] is fundamentally different from that in [1]. In [1] we study the Smoluchowski equation from the mathematical point of view, the value at zero being considered as the limit as $m\to 0$, all the results being mathematically rigorous.

The above discussion demonstrates that the model (5) and (6) leads us away from a physical explanation of the mathematical phenomenon discovered in [1]. As an attempt to explain the phenomenon let us consider the bounded coagulation kernel (3) with the stationary solution (4). We can see that this stationary state is achieved due to a constant influx of small particles. The number of small particles is infinite and, moreover, is large enough to ensure the influx of small particles for all $t>0$. The phenomenon of existence of stationary solutions of the pure coagulation equation, therefore, takes place due to the influence of a sufficiently large 'infinity' of small particles. In conclusion, we would like to add that the above mentioned phenomenon of the infringement of the mass conservation law for $K(m,m_1)=mm_1$ is due to a different type of influence at infinity.

References

[1] Dubovskiĭ P B, Galkin V A and Stewart I W 1992 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 25 4737

[2] Simons S 1993 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 26 1259

[3] Galkin V A 1984 *Meteorology and Hydrology* 5 33

[4] Ernst M H, Ziff R M and Hendriks E M 1984 *J. Coll. Interf. Sci.* 97 266